

Ensuring appropriate polypharmacy in patients with increasing frailty or moving towards end of life care

It is essential to optimise medicines to ensure safe prescribing and appropriate polypharmacy for patients with increasing frailty or moving towards end of life. Medicines that should usually be continued and those with increased potential to cause harm^{1,2} are highlighted in the full bulletin with evidence-based tools to help the review process.³⁻⁶ Actions that health care professionals can take to support these patients are suggested.

Key recommendations

- Older people should be assessed for frailty at all encounters with health or social care professionals as frailty can change over time.
- Consider frailty in younger patients, particularly those with multiple co-morbidities.
- Care is needed in prescribing for people with increasing frailty due to potential physiological changes, leading to a decrease in the function of various organ systems that may adversely affect the metabolism of medicines.
- Think about the harm to benefit profile of each medicine.
- Conduct personalised medication reviews more regularly for patients with frailty, using one of the evidence-based review tools.³⁻⁶
- Ensure appropriate polypharmacy at end of life.
- Practices should have a palliative care register that includes patients with increasing frailty.⁷
- One clinician, or one clinical team, should take responsibility for a patient's medicines.⁸

Definition of frailty

Individuals of any age who present with multiple co-morbidities, significant impairment in day-to-day living with a deteriorating functional score, plus a combination of at least three of the following symptoms: weakness, slow walking speed, significant unintended weight loss, exhaustion, low physical activity or depression are described as having frailty.⁹

Identifying patients moving towards end of life

The simple question: "Would I be surprised if this person were to die in the next 12 months?" (known as 'the surprise question') is accurate seven times out of ten.⁹

Additional resources available: <https://www.prescqipp.info/resources/category/299-polypharmacy-frailty>



Bulletin



Patient information leaflet, case studies

Costs and savings

Some of the current national problems are set out below.

5-8% of unplanned, emergency hospital admissions are due to adverse reactions (ADR) to medicines; up to two thirds of these are preventable.¹⁰ In 2007 the National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) estimated the cost of medication safety incidents to the NHS as £770 million.¹¹

ADRs result in 20% of patients being re-admitted to hospital within one year of discharge from their index admission; up to 50% of the ADRs were possibly avoidable.¹²

It is estimated that 30-50% of medicines prescribed for long term conditions are not taken as anticipated, resulting in the loss in health gain of billions of pounds.¹³

Ten days after starting a new medicine, 30% of patients are already non-compliant, of these 55% don't realise they are not taking their medicines correctly. Only 16% of patients who are prescribed a new medicine are taking it as prescribed, experiencing no problems and receiving as much information as they need.¹⁴

In primary care, wasted medicines are estimated to be at least £300 million per year, of which half is avoidable.^{15,16}

A patient stabilised on fewer medicines following a medication review will potentially require less contact with health professionals and fewer unscheduled hospital admissions due to ADRs.¹⁷

Related PrescQIPP resources

Improving Medicines and Polypharmacy Appropriateness Clinical Tool (IMPACT), March 2016. <https://www.prescqipp.info/polypharmacy-impact/category/272-polypharmacy-impact>

Care homes webkit: <https://www.prescqipp.info/carehomes>

Polypharmacy and Deprescribing webkit: <https://www.prescqipp.info/polypharmacy-deprescribing-webkit>

References

1. All Wales Medicines Strategy Group. Polypharmacy: Guidance for Prescribing. July 2014. <http://www.awmsg.org/docs/awmsg/medman/Polypharmacy%20-%20Guidance%20for%20Prescribing%20in%20Frail%20Adults.pdf>
2. Fit for Frailty - consensus best practice guidance for the care of older people living in community and outpatient settings - a report from the British Geriatrics Society. 2014. http://www.bgs.org.uk/campaigns/fff/fff_full.pdf
3. O'Mahoney D, O'Sullivan D, Byrne S, et al. STOPP/START criteria for potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people: version 2. Age Ageing 2015; 44: 213–18. <http://ageing.oxfordjournals.org/content/44/2/213.full>
4. American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 Updated Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015; 63:2227–2246. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jgs.13702/pdf>
5. Lewis T. Using the NO TEARS tool for medication review. BMJ 2004; 329:434 <http://www.bmj.com/content/329/7463/434>
6. PrescQIPP Improving Medicines and Polypharmacy Appropriateness Clinical Tool (IMPACT), March 2016. <https://www.prescqipp.info/polypharmacy-impact/send/272-polypharmacy-impact/2551-bulletin-152-impact>
7. 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16. BMA, NHS Employers, NHS England. 2015. <http://www.nhsemployers.org/~media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%202016/2015%2016%20QOF%20guidance%20for%20stakeholders.pdf>
8. Duerden M, Avery T, Payne R. Polypharmacy and Medicines Optimisation. Making it safe and sound. The King's Fund, London, 2013. http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/files/kf/field/field_publication_file/polypharmacy-and-medicines-optimisation-kingsfund-nov13.pdf
9. The GSF Prognostic Indicator Guidance (PIG) 4th edition. The Royal College of General Practitioners. October 2011. <http://www.goldstandardsframework.org.uk/cd-content/uploads/files/General%20Files/Prognostic%20Indicator%20Guidance%20October%202011.pdf>
10. Pirmohamed M, James S, Meakin S, et al. Adverse drug reactions as cause of admission to hospital: prospective analysis of 18,820 patients. BMJ 2004; 329:15-19. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC443443/>
11. National Patient Safety Agency. Safety in doses: medication safety incidents in the NHS. NPSA. 2007. <http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/EasySiteWeb/getresource.axd?AssetID=61392>
12. Davies E, Green C, Mottram D, et al. Emergency re-admissions to hospital due to adverse drug reactions within 1 year of the index admission. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 2010; 70(5): 749–755. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2997315/>
13. Horne R, Weinman J, Barber N et al. Concordance, adherence and compliance in medicine taking. National Co-ordinating Centre for NHS Service Delivery and Organisation, December 2005. http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/81394/ES-08-1412-076.pdf
14. Barber N, Parsons J, Clifford S, et al. Patients' problems with new medications for chronic conditions. Qual Saf Health Care 2004; 13:172-175. <http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/13/3/172.full.html>
15. York Health Economics Consortium and The School of Pharmacy, University of London. Evaluation of the Scale, Causes and Costs of Waste Medicines, 2010. http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1350234/1/Evaluation_of_NHS_Medicines_Waste_web_publication_version.pdf
16. Pharmaceutical waste reduction in the NHS. NHS England, 2015. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/pharmaceutical-waste-reduction.pdf>
17. Scottish Government Model of Care Polypharmacy Working Group. Polypharmacy Guidance (2nd edition), March 2015. http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/polypharmacy_guidance.pdf

This document represents the view of PrescQIPP CIC at the time of publication, which was arrived at after careful consideration of the referenced evidence, and in accordance with PrescQIPP's quality assurance framework.

The use and application of this guidance does not override the individual responsibility of health and social care professionals to make decisions appropriate to local need and the circumstances of individual patients (in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer). [Terms and conditions](#)