Rectal irrigation

Rectal irrigation (also known as anal irrigation or trans-anal irrigation) has been used in the management of chronic constipation and/or chronic faecal incontinence due to neurogenic bowel dysfunction and functional bowel disorders.¹ Although a number of rectal irrigation systems can be prescribed on FP10, it is a highly specialist management option with a limited evidence base supporting its use.^{2,3} A number of considerations are necessary to ensure its use is safe and appropriate.³

Key recommendations

- Rectal irrigation is a highly specialist management option and should only be considered as part of an appropriate locally commissioned bowel care pathway. It is not recommended for initiation by GPs in primary care, without specialist management.^{3,4}
- It should only be considered where initial treatment with other less invasive options has proved unsuccessful. Initial management may include diet, bowel habit, toilet access, medication and coping strategies.^{1,3,4} This is in line with NICE guidance on managing faecal incontinence in adults (CG49).²
- NICE guidance on the diagnosis and management of idiopathic constipation in children and young people (CG99) does not include rectal irrigation as a treatment option prior to surgical intervention.⁵
- A medical evaluation by an appropriate specialist is necessary before starting rectal irrigation. In some people endoscopic investigation is needed before rectal irrigation can be commenced.⁶
- Mild and transient symptoms, such as abdominal pain, chills, nausea and minor rectal bleeding, are seen frequently during or after rectal irrigation. There is also potential for autonomic dysreflexia, which is of particular concern in those with spinal cord injury with lesions located at or above T6.⁷
- Although extremely rare, rectal irrigation carries a risk of bowel perforation, which can be fatal. Patients should be taught to recognise the symptoms of colonic perforation and what actions to take.³
- Comprehensive training for the individual, plus on-going support are essential to the safe and efficient long-term use of rectal irrigation.³
- Minimise waste by ensuring the correct type and quantity of consumables are ordered. This should take into account the frequency of irrigation and the number of uses appropriate for the product. A summary of the different rectal irrigation systems available (including components, number of times they can be used and estimated monthly costs) is included in attachment 1 of the full PrescQIPP bulletin on rectal irrigation.
- Products should not be added to GPs repeat prescribing systems at initiation. Once a consistent
 routine of irrigation has been established (often on alternate days¹) it may be appropriate to add only
 items that need to be ordered on a monthly basis to the repeat prescribing system. Treatment should
 be reviewed regularly.
- For those taking laxatives before starting irrigation, it is prudent to continue these in the usual dose until irrigation is established. They may subsequently be able to gradually stop taking laxatives, but many continue to need them.¹

Supporting evidence

The only indication for which there is randomised controlled trial evidence (from one study, n=87) supporting rectal irrigation is spinal cord injury with neurogenic bowel dysfunction in adults.⁸

For other indications, data are largely derived from uncontrolled case series.

Savings

In England and Wales, Over £14.8 million was spent on rectal irrigation systems over the course of a year (ePACT June to August 2016).

A 20% reduction in prescribing (by reducing wastage and any inappropriate prescribing) would produce savings in the order of £2.4 million annually. This equates to £4,879 per 100,000 patients.

Additional resources available: <u>https://</u> www.prescqipp.info/resources/ category/348-rectal-irrigation-drop-list





Data pack



Summary of systems

References

- 1. St Mark's Hospital continence service. Guidelines for the use of Rectal Irrigation (Healthcare Professionals). Issued March 2009. Accessed 19/7/16 via www.stmarksnhshospital.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Guidelines-for-the-use-of-rectal-irrigation-health-professionals.pdf
- 2. National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care (2007). Faecal incontinence: The management of faecal incontinence in adults (full NICE guideline) [CG49]. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Issued June 2007. Accessed 19/7/16 via www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg49/evidence
- 3. Emmanuel A, Krogh K et al. Consensus review of best practice of transanal irrigation in adults. Spinal Cord 2013;51;732-738
- 4. Royal College of Surgeons and the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland. Commissioning guide: Faecal incontinence. Issued January 2014, revised November 2014. Accessed 19/7/16 via www.rcseng.ac.uk/library-and-publications/college-publications/docs/faecal-incontinence-guide/
- 5. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Constipation in children and young people: diagnosis and management [CG99]. Issued May 2010. Accessed 19/7/16 via www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg99
- 6. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) Medical Device Alert. Peristeen® Anal Irrigation System manufactured by Coloplast Limited. MDA/2014/007, issued 26/2/2014. Accessed 12/7/16 via www.gov.uk/drug-device-alerts
- 7. Christensen P, Krogh K. Transanal irrigation for disordered defecation: A systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 2010;45:517-527
- 8. Christensen P, Bazzocchi et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Transanal Irrigation Versus Conservative Bowel Management in Spinal Cord-Injured Patients. Gastroenterology 2006;131:738-747
- Contact <u>help@prescqipp.info</u> with any queries or comments related to the content of this document.

This document represents the view of PrescQIPP CIC at the time of publication, which was arrived at after careful consideration of the referenced evidence, and in accordance with PrescQIPP's quality assurance framework.

The use and application of this guidance does not override the individual responsibility of health and social care professionals to make decisions appropriate to local need and the circumstances of individual patients (in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer). <u>Terms and conditions</u>

